

# Appendix D: Floodplain Risk Assessment

COUNTY: Greenville

DATE: 07/19/2019

ROAD #: <u>WRP</u>

STREAM CROSSING: East Laurel Creek Trib A

Purpose & Need for the Project:

Woodruff Road Parallel project will provide alternate route to relieve congestion on existing Woodruff Road (SC 146).

#### I. FEMA Acknowledgement

Is this project located in a regulated FEMA Floodway?			XYes	No
Panel Number:	45045C404E	Effective Date:	08/18/2014	(See Attached)

II. FEMA Floodmap Investigation

FEMA Flood Profile Sheet Number <u>54P</u> illustrates the existing 100 year flood: Passes under the existing low chord elevation.

Is in contact with the existing low chord elevation.

Overtops the existing bridge finished grade elevation.

N/A - There is no existing bridge crossing shown on the profile.

#### III. No Rise/CLOMR Preliminary Determination

Preliminary assessment indicates this project may be constructed to meet the "No-Rise" requirements. A detailed hydraulic analysis will be performed to verify this assessment.

Justification:

Preliminary assessmet indicates this project may require a CLOMR/LOMR. Impacts will be determined by a detailed hydraulic analysis.

Justification:

IV. Preliminary Bridge Assessment

V.

Α.	Loo a.	cate Existing Plar Bridge Plans	ns ☐Yes ✔No	File No.		_Sheet No	(See	Attached)
	b.	Road Plans	Yes VNo	File No.		_Sheet No	(See	Attached)
В.	His a.	storical Highwater USGS Gage	Data Yes Vo	Gage No.		Results:		
	b.	SCDOT/USGS I	Documente Yes Vo	ed Highwate Results:	er Elevatio	ns		
	C.	Existing Plans	Yes ✔ No	See Abov	e			
Fie	eld F	Review						
A.	Exis	sting Bridge N/A	- There is	no existing	bridge pre	esent at this loca	ation.	
	Lei	ngth <u>:</u>	_ft. Width	: <u>N/A</u>	ft. Max	x. span Length:		ft.
	Alię	gnment: 🔲 Ta	ngent	Curved				
	Bri	dge Skewed:	Yes	No Ar	igle:			
	En	d Abutment Type	:					
	Rip	orap on End Fills:	Yes	No	Condition	:		
	Su Su	perstructure Type bstructure Type:	e:					
	Uti	lities Present:	Yes Describe:	No				
	De	bris Accumulatio	n on Bridge	e: Perce Perce	nt Blockec nt Blockec	Horizontally:		%%
	Hyc	draulic Problems:	Yes Describe:	No				

V. Field Review (cont.)

B		N/A - There is no existing bridge present at this location.
Б.	a.	Scour Present: Yes No Location:
	b. c. d. e. f.	Distance from F.G. to Normal Water Elevation:ft. Distance from Low Steel to Normal Water Elev.:ft. Distance from F.G. to High Water Elevation:ft. Distance from Low Steel to High Water Elev.:ft. Channel Banks Stable:YesNo
	g.	Soil Type:
	h.	Exposed Rock: Yes No Location:
	i.	Give Description and Location of any structures or other property that could be damaged due to additional backwater.

- C. Existing Roadway Geometry
  - a. Can the existing roadway be closed for an On-Alignment Bridge Replacement
    Yes No
    Describe:

If "yes", does the existing vertical and horizontal curves meet the proposed design speed criteria?

If "No", will the proposed bridge be:

- Staged Constructed
- Replaced on New Alignment

- VI. Field Review (cont.)
- A. Proposed Bridge Recommendation:

Length: <u>N/A</u>ft. Width: <u>N/A</u>ft. Elevation: \_\_\_\_\_ft.

Span Arangement: N/A

Notes: The City of Greenville is currently constructing new location roadway at this location, along with a new culvert crossing of East Laurel Creek Tributary A. Road will be widened under WRP project, and new culvert will be lengthened to accommodate the wider roadway.

#### BRIDGE SITE DIAGRAM: (Show North Arrow and Direction of Flow)

2000L		NOODS EX	ISTING WOODENFF ROAD PARMIÓL (UNDORZ CONSTRUCTION)
	HOOP?	2 / ( L 1000	5
		Performed	By: Mark W. Hammond Title: Hydraulic Engineer

#### NOTES TO USERS

This map is for use in administering the National Flood Insurance Program. It does not necessarily identify all areas subject to fooding, particularly from local drainage sources of small size. The community map repository should be consulted for possible updated or additional flood hazard information.

To obtain more detailed information in areas where Base Flood Elevations (BFEs) and/or floodways have been determined, users are encouraged to consult the Flood Profiles and Floodway. Data and/or Summary of Siltwater Elevations tables contained within the Flood Insurance Study (FIS) report that accompanies this FIRM. Loses should be aware that BFEs shown on the FIRM represent monoids whele-load should not be used as the sole source of flood elevation information. Accordingly flood elevation data presented in the FIS report floud be utilized in conjunction with the FIRM for purposes of construction and/or floodplain management.

Coastal Base Flood Elevations (BFEs) shown on this map apply only landward of 0.0° North American Vertical Datum of 1989 (NAVD 89). Users of this FIRM should be aware that casall flood elevations are also provided in the Summary of Sillwater Elevations table in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction. Elevations shown in the Summary of Sillwater Elevations table should be used for construction and/or floodplain management purposes when they are higher finan the elevations shown on this FIRM.

Boundaries of the floodways were computed at cross sections and interpolated between cross sections. The floodways were based on hydrautic considerations with regard to requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program. Floodway withs and other pertinent floodway data are provided in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction.

Certain areas not in Special Flood Hazard Areas may be protected by flood control structures. Refer to Section 2.4 "Flood Protection Measures" of the Flood Insurance Sludy report for information on flood control structures for this jurisdiction.

The projection used in the preparation of this map was Lambert Conformal Conic State Plane South Carolina FIPS 3000. The horizontal datum was NA085 HARN. GRS 1980 spheriod. Differences in datum, spherodit, projection or State Plane zones used in the production of FIRMs for adjacent jurisdictions may result in slight positional differences in map features across jurisdiction boundaries. These differences do not affect the accuracy of Ihis FIRM.

Flood elevations on this map are referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1998. These flood elevations must be compared to structure and ground elevations referenced to the same vertical datum. For information regarding conversion between the National Geodelic Vertical Datum of 1929 and the North American Vertical Datum of 1988, visit the National Geodelic Survey website at http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/ or contract the National Geodelic Survey at the following address:

NGS Information Services NOAA, N/NGS12 NOAA, N/NGS12 National Geodetic Survey SSMC-3, #9202 1315 East-West Highway Silver Spring, Maryland 20910-3282 (301) 713-3242

To obtain current elevation, description, and/or location information for **bench marks** shown on this map, please contact the Information Services Branch of the National Geodetic Survey at (**301) 713-3242** or visit ils website at <u>http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/</u>.

Base map information shown on this FIRM was provided in digital format by Greenville County, South Carolina.

This map reflects more detailed and up-to-date stream channel configurations that those shown on the previous FIRM for this jurisdiction. The floodplains and floodways that were transferred from the previous FIRM may have been adjusted to conform to these new stream channel configurations. As a result, the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data tables in the Flood insurance Study report (which contains authoritative hydraulic data) may reflect stream channel distances that differ from what is shown on this map.

Corporate limits shown on this map are based on the best data available at the time of publication. Because changes due to annexations or de-annexations occurred after this map was published, map users should contact a community officials to verify current corporate limit locations.

Please refer to the separately printed Map Index for an overview map of the county showing the layout of map panets; community map repository addresses; and a Listing of Communities table contraining National Flood Insurance Program dates to each community as well as a listing of the panels on which each community is located.

For information and questions about this map, available products associated with this FIRM including historic versions of this FIRM, how to order products or the National Flood Insurance Program in general, please call the FEMA Map Information eXchange at 1:877-FEMA-MAP (1:877-358-2627) or visit the FEMA Map Service Center website at <u>http://www.nors.fema.gov</u>. Available products may include previously issued Letters of Map Change, a Flood Insurance Study Report, and/or dgital versions of this map. Alway of these products can be ordered or oblande previacely issued Letters of Map Change, a Flood Insurance study reprov. ensure digital versions of this map. Neury of these products can be ordered or oblined directly from the versite. Users may determine the current map date for each FIRM panel by veiling the FEMA Map Service Center website or by calling the FEMA Map information exchange.

The "profile base lines" depicted on this map represent the hydraulic modeling baselines that match the flood profiles in the FIS report. As a result of improved topographic data, the "profile base line", in some cases, may deviale significantly from the channel centerline or appear outside the SFHA.



This digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) was produced through a unique cooperative partnership between the State of South Carolina and the Foderal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). The State of South Carolina has implemented a long term approach of floodplain management to decrease the costs associated with flooding. This is demonstrated by the State commitment lo map floodplain areas at the local level. As a part of this effort, the state of South Carolina has joined in a Cooperating Technical State agreement with FEMA to produce and maintain this digital FIRM.



http://www.dnr.state.sc.us/

COUNTY: Greenville

DATE: 07/19/2019

ROAD #: <u>WRP</u>

STREAM CROSSING: East Laurel Creek Trib A1

Purpose & Need for the Project:

Woodruff Road Parallel project will provide alternate route to relieve congestion on existing Woodruff Road (SC 146). The project will be constructed on new location.

#### I. FEMA Acknowledgement

Is this project located in a regulated FEMA Floodway?			XYes	No
Panel Number:	45045C408E	Effective Date:	08/18/2014	(See Attached)

II. FEMA Floodmap Investigation

FEMA Flood Profile Sheet Number <u>55P</u> illustrates the existing 100 year flood: Passes under the existing low chord elevation.

Is in contact with the existing low chord elevation.

Overtops the existing bridge finished grade elevation.

N/A - There is no existing bridge crossing shown on the profile.

#### III. No Rise/CLOMR Preliminary Determination

Preliminary assessment indicates this project may be constructed to meet the "No-Rise" requirements. A detailed hydraulic analysis will be performed to verify this assessment.

Justification:

Preliminary assessmnet indicates this project may require a CLOMR/LOMR. Impacts will be determined by a detailed hydraulic analysis.

Justification: Since the project is new location, a new culvert crossing will likely modify the base flood profile on the upstream side of the culvert.

IV. Preliminary Bridge Assessment

V.

A.	Loo a.	cate Existing Plar Bridge Plans	ns ☐Yes ✔No	File No.		_Sheet No	(See	Attached)
	b.	Road Plans	Yes ✔ No	File No.		_Sheet No	(See	Attached)
В.	His a.	storical Highwater USGS Gage	Data ☐Yes ✔No	Gage No.		Results:		
	b.	SCDOT/USGS I	Documente Yes Vo	d Highwate Results:	er Elevatio	ns		
	C.	Existing Plans	Yes ✔No	See Abov	e			
Fie	eld F	Review						
٨	Evid	N/A	- There is	no existing	ı bridge pr	esent at this loca	ation.	
А.	Lei	ngth <u>:</u>	_ft. Width	:N/A	ft. Ma	x. span Length:		ft.
	Alię	gnment: 🔲 Ta	ngent	Curved				
	Bri	dge Skewed:	]Yes	No Ar	igle:			
	En	d Abutment Type	:					
	Rip	orap on End Fills:	Yes	No	Condition	:		
	Su Su	perstructure Type bstructure Type:	e:					
	Uti	lities Present:	Yes Describe:	No				
	De	bris Accumulation	n on Bridge	e: Perce Perce	nt Blockeo nt Blockeo	l Horizontally: I Vertically:		%%
	Hyo	draulic Problems:	Yes Describe:	No				
				ļ				

V. Field Review (cont.)

В.	Hy	draulic Features
	a.	Scour Present: Yes No Location:
	b.	Distance from F.G. to Normal Water Elevation:ft.
	C.	Distance from Low Steel to Normal Water Elev.:ft.
	d.	Distance from F.G. to High Water Elevation:ft.
	e.	Distance from Low Steel to High Water Elev.:ft.
	f.	Channel Banks Stable: Yes No Describe:
	g.	Soil Type:
	h.	Exposed Rock: Yes No Location:
	i.	Give Description and Location of any structures or other property that could be damaged due to additional backwater.

- C. Existing Roadway Geometry
  - a. Can the existing roadway be closed for an On-Alignment Bridge Replacement
    Yes No
    Describe:

If "yes", does the existing vertical and horizontal curves meet the proposed design speed criteria?

If "No", will the proposed bridge be:

- Staged Constructed
- Replaced on New Alignment

VI. Field Review (cont.)

A.	Proposed Bridge Recommendation:
	Length: N/A ft. Width: N/A ft. Elevation:ft.
	Span Arangement: N/A
	Notes: Based on preliminary investigation, this crossing will consist of a single 8'x8' RC box culvert.
	BRIDGE SITE DIAGRAM: (Show North Arrow and Direction of Flow)
	PROPOSED BOX CULVERT WOODS
	PEPPose
	ROAD PARALISL
	J// ps
	HILLING Mark The
	Performed By: Mark W. Hammond
	Title: <u>Hydraulic Engineer</u> Page 4 of 4

#### NOTES TO USERS

This map is for use in administering the National Flood Insurance Program. It does not necessarily identify all areas subject to fooding, particularly from local drainage sources of small size. The community map repository should be consulted for possible updated or additional flood hazard information.

To obtain more detailed information in areas where Base Flood Elevations (BFEs) and/or floodways have been determined, users are encouraged to consult the Flood Profiles and Floodway. Data and/or Summary of Stilwater Elevations tables contained within the Flood Insurance Study (FIS) report that accompanies this FIRM. Users should be aware that BFEs shown on the FIRM represent rounded whele-load elevations. These BFEs are intended for flood insurance raing purposes only and should not be used as the soles accure of flood elevation information. Accordingly, flood elevation data presented in the FIS report should be utilized in conjunction with the FIRM for purposes of constructions and/or floodpinn management.

Coastal Base Flood Elevations (BFEs) shown on this map apply only landward of 0.0' North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88). Users of this FIRM should be aware that castal flood elevations are also provided in the Summary of Siliwater Elevations table in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction. Elevations shown in the Summary of Siliwater Elevations table should be used for construction and/or floodplain management purposes when they are higher finan the elevations shown on this FIRM.

Boundaries of the floodways were computed at cross sections and interpolated between cross sections. The floodways were based on hydraulic considerations with regard to requirements of the National Flood hasvance Porgam. Tododway withse and other pertinent floodways data are provided in the Flood insurance Study report for this jurisdiction.

Certain areas not in Special Flood Hazard Areas may be protected by flood control structures. Refer to Section 2.4 "Flood Protection Measures" of the Flood Insurance Study report for information on flood control structures for this jurisdiction.

The projection used in the preparation of this map was Lambert Conformal Conic State Flane South Carolina FIPS 3000. The horizontal datum was NA083 HARN. GRS1980 Spherol. Differences in datum, spherold, projection or State Plane zones used in the production of FIRMs for adjacent jurisdictions may result in slight positional differences in map features across jurisdiction boundaries. These differences do not affect the accuracy of Ihis FIRM.

Flood elevations on this map are referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1998. These flood elevations must be compared to structure and ground elevations referenced to the same vertical datum. For information regarding conversion between the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 and the North American Vertical Datum of 1988, visit the National Geodetic Survey website at http://www.ngs.noaa.gov' or contact the National Geodetic Survey at the following address:

NGS Information Services NOAA, N/NGS12 National Geodetic Survey SSMC-3, #92020 1315 East-West Highway Silver Spring, Maryland 20910-3282 (301) 713-3242

To obtain current elevation, description, and/or location information for **bench marks** shown on this map, please contact the Information Services Branch of the National Geodetic Survey at (**301**) **713-3242** or visit ils website at <u>http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/</u>.

Base map information shown on this FIRM was provided in digital format by Greenville County, South Carolina.

This map reflects more detailed and up-to-date stream channel configurations than those shown on the previous FIRM for this jurisdiction. The floodplans and floodways that were transferred from the previous FIRM may have been adjusted to contorm to these new stream channel configurations. As a result, the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data tables in the Flood Insurance Study report (which contains authoritable hydraulic data) may reflect stream channel distances that differ from authoritative hydraulic data what is shown on this map.

Corporate limits shown on this map are based on the best data available at the time of publication. Because changes due to annexations or de-annexations occurred after this map was published, map users should contact a community officials to verify current corporate limit locations.

Please refer to the separately printed Map Index for an overview map of the county showing the layout of map panets; community map repository addresses; and a Listing of Communities table contraining National Flood Insurance Program dates to each community as well as a listing of the panets on which each community is located.

For information and questions about this map, available products associated with this FIRM including historic versions of this FIRM, how to order products or line National FIRM including historic versions of this FIRM, how to order products or line National FIRM map at 1:877-FIRM-MAP (1:877-388-2627) or visit the FENA Mag Information exherts versions at <u>http://www.mscfema.gov</u>. Available products may include previously issued Letters of Mag Change, a Flood insurance Study Report, and/or digilal versions of this map. Native of these products can be ordered or oblaned directly from the website. Users may determine the current map date for each FIRM parel by visiting the FENA Map Service Center vebsite or by calling the FENA Map information exhange.

The "profile base lines" depicted on this map represent the hydraulic modeling baselines that match the flood profiles in the FIS report. As a result of improved topographic data, the "profile base line", in some cases, may deviate significantly from the channel centerline or appear outside the SFHA.





AUGUST 18, 2014

LEGEND

DNR

This digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) was produced through a unique cooperative partnership between the State of South Carolina and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). The State of South Carolina has implemented a long term approach of floodplain management to decrease the costs associated with flooding. This is demonstrated by the State commitment to map floodplain areas at the local level. As a part of this effort, the state of South Carolina has joined in a Cooperating Technical State agreement with FEMA to produce and maintain this digital FIRM.

Y

#### South Carolina Department of Transportation Location and Hydraulic Design of Encroachments on Floodplains Checklist

23 CFR 650, this regulation shall apply to all encroachments and to all actions which affect base floodplains, except for repairs made with emergency funds. Note: These studies shall be summarized in the environmental review documents prepared pursuant to 23 CFR 771.

### I. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposed project would provide an alternate parallel route to Woodruff Road from Verdae Boulevard to Smith Hines Road, while improving numerous intersections and access points along Woodruff Road.

- A. Narrative Describing Purpose and Need for Project
  - a. Relevant Project History:
  - b. General Project Description and Nature of Work (attach Location and Project Map):
  - c. Major Issues and Concerns:

The purpose of the project is to improve operational efficiency and alleviate traffic congestion on Woodruff Road to improve mobility in the busy commercial area between I-385 and Roper Mountain Road/Verdae Boulevard.

- B. Are there any floodplain(s) regulated by FEMA located in the project area? Yes⊠ No⊡
- C. Will the placing of fill occur within a 100-year floodplain? Yes No
- D. Will the existing profile grade be raised within the floodplain?

The proposed project will utilize existing grade at one crossing and will result in a new roadway/structure at another crossing. It is anticipated that this will require extension of an existing culvert and construction of a new structure.

E. If applicable, please discuss the practicability of alternatives to any longitudinal encroachments.

The project is not expected to result in longitudinal encroachments into floodplains, as the two crossings are perpendicular to the floodplains.

- F. Please include a discussion of the following: commensurate with the significance of the risk or environmental impact for all alternatives containing encroachments and those actions which would support base floodplain development:
  - a. What are the risks associated with implementation of the action?

The project has the potential to impact the base flood elevation on the upstream side of the new crossing. Detailed hydraulic studies will be conducted to determine the appropriate structure size and type to minimize these impacts. This analysis will be coordinated with FEMA and the local floodplain coordinator, including Conditional Letter Of Map Revision/Letter Of Map Revision (CLOMR/LOMR) as necessary.

b. What are the impacts on the natural and beneficial floodplain values?

The proposed project would result in approximately 0.80 acre of direct floodplain impacts through the placement of fill material and construction of the proposed roadway improvements. Improvements include the extension of an existing culvert and construction of a new culvert to unnamed tributaries – East Laurel Creek Tributary A and A-1.

c. What measures were used to minimize floodplain impacts associated with the action?

The proposed crossings would be designed to accommodate the required conveyance and not impact any existing residential or commercial structures. In addition, the length of impacts would be minimized to only what is required to accommodate the proposed roadway. A final detailed hydraulic analysis would be conducted during final design development and would be performed in accordance with *SCDOT Requirements for Hydraulic Design Studies*.

d. Were any measures used to restore and preserve the natural and beneficial floodplain values impacted by the action?

The project will be designed and constructed to minimize impacts to floodplains through maintaining conveyance and water surface elevations up and downstream of the crossings. The associated fill material placed within the floodplain areas are expected to have minimal impact on the overall function and value of the floodplains. The area of fill material will be minimized to the extent practicable through design considerations (side slopes, walls, etc.)

G. Please discuss the practicability of alternatives to any significant encroachments or any support of incompatible floodplain development.

Extensive alternative analysis was conducted with project development. Some of the alternatives considered avoided impact but did not meet the purpose and need or provide adequate improvement. The crossings could not be avoided by the preferred alternative due to other constraints considering roadway design, land use, existing developments, existing infrastructure, and other geographic constraints.

H. Were local, state, and federal water resources and floodplain management agencies consulted to determine if the proposed highway action is consistent with existing watershed and floodplain management programs and to obtain current information on development and proposed actions in the affected? Please include agency documentation.

FEMA and the local floodplain manager will be consulted at the time of final design to ensure all local floodplain regulations are met.

3/30/2020

SCDOT Hydraulic Engineer

Date